

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.  09/531,743 03/21/2000 Steven Jeromy Carriere 57921/107 7120  7590 09/01/2006 EXAMINER  GLENN PATENT GROUP 3475 EDISON WAY SUITE L MENLO PARK, CA 94025 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3627							
7590 09/01/2006 EXAMINER  GLENN PATENT GROUP 3475 EDISON WAY SUITE L  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
GLENN PATENT GROUP  3475 EDISON WAY SUITE L  ZEENDER, FLORIAN M  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	09/531,743 03/21/2000		Steven Jeromy Carriere	57921/107	7120		
3475 EDISON WAY SUITE L  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		7590	09/01/2006	EXAMINER			
SUITE L ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	GLENN PA	ΓENT G	ROUP	ZEENDER, FLORIAN M			
SUITEL	3475 EDISON	<b>WAY</b>					
MENLO PARK, CA 94025 3627	SUITE L				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MENLO PAR	K, CA	94025	3627	<u> </u>		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			/531,743	CARRIERE ET A	L.				
			aminer	Art Unit					
		F. I	Ryan Zeender	3627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions o SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE f 37 CFR 1.136(a). nication. utory period will app rill, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may oly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become	NICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 05 June 2	2006.						
2a)□			on is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	•		atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice		•	-					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the ap	plication.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-27,31 and</u>	<u>/ 32</u> is/are with	ndrawn from conside	eration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or ele	ction requirement.						
Applicat	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	d or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the draw	ing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is	required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examir	ner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/2006.		Paper No	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/531,743

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group IV (claims 28-30) in the reply filed on 2/28/2006 and 6/5/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that: 1) The Examiner did not demonstrate that there is a serious burden in examining all claims, 2) the Examiner did not demonstrate that the claims contain two or more "Independent" inventions, and 3) no supportive reasons to support conclusions in the restriction. This is not found persuasive because: (1) the Examiner did demonstrate that there is a serious burden (See for example the Restriction requirement mailed 1/30/2006, page 3) in that he has indicated that each of inventions I-IV are classified in different classes and the search requirements for any one invention may not be necessary for any other invention. The additional searching is a serious burden on the Examiner. (2) In MPEP 802.01, as referenced by the applicant, in paragraph 5, the last three lines state that dependent inventions may be properly divided if they are "distinct". The Examiner, in his action mailed 5/19/2006, specifically requested the applicant to state on the record that one or more groups of inventions are not patentably distinct, if the applicant so believed. The applicant has not done so, and therefore the Examiner has maintained the restriction requirement, as the Examiner continues to believe the inventions are distinct. Further, the applicant's analysis with respect to the terminology, "independent" and "distinct" is flawed (See MPEP 802.01). (3) The Examiner has provided ample reasoning supporting his restriction requirement. The applicant specifically raises the question of how the method of group I (querying a user) can be practiced without a

Art Unit: 3627

"user interface" described in the system. The Examiner notes that the method of querying can be a one-way communication/broadcast without necessitating any kind of interface.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krane '063 in view of Uppaluru '806.

Krane teaches a method of operating an interactive user operated Internet voice portal 7 having established multiple predetermined vertical domains of interest (i.e., talk web menu and book-marks describing a collection of web sites; See Col. 5, lines 39-65); the domains having attributes within (i.e., the actual collection of web sites associated with each domain); responsive to a user placing a telephone call to the voice portal, identifying the user (See for example Col. 3, lines 42-57) and obtaining user selection of a vertical domain of interest (Col. 5, line 39); performing funneling operations (See for example Col. 3, lines 42-57, and Col. 6, lines 40-44) by applying speech recognition to user chosen attribute values (i.e., the selected web site of interest) where recognized answers are limited to contents of a vocabulary set (inherent

Application/Control Number: 09/531,743

Art Unit: 3627

with speech recognition); the user repeatedly choosing an attribute until a bottom level attribute is chosen (i.e., the desired web site is found; Col. 6, lines 40-44); conducting an internet search for prescribed types of information (i.e., search for a desired item in a store catalogue as is well known in Internet surfing; Col. 6, lines 40-44); audibly providing resultant information via the telephone call.

Krane lacks the specific teaching of building a vocabulary set containing top-level attribute values appropriate to the selected vertical domain of interest; repeatedly building an updated vocabulary set appropriate to the latest chosen attribute value.

Uppaluru teaches building vocabulary sets appropriate to a selected domain (See for example Col. 13, lines 24-30) and repeatedly updating the vocabulary set appropriate for a chosen attribute or service (See for example Col. 13, lines 9-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Krane to include the specific teaching of building a vocabulary set containing top-level attribute values appropriate to the selected vertical domain of interest, and repeatedly building an updated vocabulary set appropriate to the latest chosen attribute value, in view of Uppaluru, in order to provide words in the speech recognition system that are "specifically tailored to the words more commonly associated with the corresponding service" (See Uppaluru, Col. 13, lines 24-26).

Re claim 29: Krane anticipates the limitation of the funneling being performed if the voice portal has not previously stored any attribute value preferences of the user for a selected vertical domain of interest (i.e., the funneling occurs in Krane whether or not there are bookmarks stored on the system). The funneling operation is additionally Application/Control Number: 09/531,743

Art Unit: 3627

performed if the voice portal has previously stored any attribute value preferences of the user for a selected vertical domain of interest, but the user has opted to override the stored attribute value preference (i.e., the funneling occurs in Krane if there are stored bookmarks, but the user chooses to choose another/different attribute in from the Talk Web menu).

Re claim 30: Regarding the limitation of the funneling operation being only performed if the voice portal has not previously stored any attribute value preferences of the user for the selected vertical domain of interest: it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Krane to include this limitation, in order to be able to find desired sites when the "Talk Web Menu" contains no listing of sites.

Further regarding claim 30, specifically the limitation of: "the operations further comprise, if the voice portal has previously stored any attribute value preferences of the user for the selected vertical domain of interest, instead of the funneling operation, performing an operation of conducting an Internet search for prescribed types of information pertaining to the stored attribute value preferences", the limitation is anticipated by Krane in that the bookmarks provide the attribute value preferences and the site associated with a selected bookmark anticipates the limitation of conducting an Internet search (i.e., the retrieving of the site) for information (i.e., the information on the site) pertaining to the stored attribute value preference (i.e., the selected bookmark).

í.

Page 6

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all pending claims have either been addressed in the Interview Summary mailed 9/21/2005 or are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (571) 272-3600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

F. Zeender Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627 August 29, 2006

F. RYAN ZEENDER PRIMARY EXAMINER 8/29/06